UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	So	corro H	iguera-Campas	Case Number:	12-2061M	
	ordance stablishe		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has I	peen held. I conclude that the following facts	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.					
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pendir trial in this case.					
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)		C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defenda	· ·	leral offense)(state or local offense that would risdiction had existed) that is	
			a crime of violence as defined i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonm	nent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(er the defendant had been co f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
				ned in section 921), or any oth	ossession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to	
	(2)	18 U.S. pending	U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release and the strict of th			
	(3)	18 U.S. of the d	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	reason	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a ably assure the safety of (an)oth d this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that er person(s) and the commun	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not	
			A	ternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S.	C. § 3142(e)(3): There is proba	ble cause to believe that the o	defendant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of im and 841	prisonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 952, 960 963	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, fo	or which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
			an offense involving a minor vic	tim under section	²	
	(2)	The de condition			nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

		Alternative Findings
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
	(4)	
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
X	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of

The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The defendant is a non-citizen border crosser and would be subject to mandatory detention and removal under immigration law.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>October 25, 2012</u>

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge